Collapse! What Collapse?  
Societal adaptations to abrupt climate changes before global warming.  
A workshop at Yale October 20-22, 2017.  
Seating limited. For available seating invitations, please write to harvey.weiss@yale.edu.

Sponsors:  
Richard Burger, Dept. Anthropology  
Michael Dove, Dept. Anthropology and FES  
Joseph Manning, Depts. History and Classics  
Robert Mendelsohn, FES and Dept. Economics  
Harvey Weiss, Environmental Studies and FES

Present global warming is rapid and anthropogenic, the product of industrial age greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. This global warming is projected by scientific researchers and political leaders to threaten the continued viability of (1) global coastal communities, (2) traditional agriculture in Asian, African, and South American regions, 3) industrialized agriculture in European and North American regions, and 4) health, morbidity, and mortality in both industrialized and industrializing nations. In consideration of the rapidity and magnitude of GHG climate-change effects, global scientific and political communities have developed and encouraged two kinds of strategies: (1) mitigation strategies to reduce GHG emissions and their effects and (2) adaptation strategies that allow threatened global nations and communities to adapt in response to 21st and 22nd century GHG climate changes (IPCC 2014; Mendelsohn, Dinar, Williams 2006). The expectation is that mitigation and adaptation may provide for minimization of climate change effects to a level that ensures the sustainable resilience of nations and communities.

Will these strategies be adopted and prove effective? This is a question that drives much current social and political science research on climate change (IPCC, 2014). However, the fundamental investigation into and exploration of societies and global warming, resides within history and archaeology and anthropology (Barnes et al 2013; Dove, ed. 2014). How was sustainable resilience accomplished in the past?

Pre-industrial climates and climate changes were not anthropogenic, of course, but exclusively natural. Their abruptness, magnitude, and duration, however, varied considerably and regionally, and often exceeded the extremes projected for present GHG climate change. Hence the history of societal adaptations to pre-industrial climate change is the necessary guide to adaptive creativity, receptivity, and effectiveness. That history can be qualified and quantified: How have societies adapted in the past and with what “success”? 

Legend: Collapse! Tell Leilan, NE Syria, urban residential quarter abandoned at 2200 BC. (Photo: H. Weiss).
Two kinds of records document the climate changes of the past. The instrumental record contains temperature and precipitation values that define climate and prominent climate changes, such as the northern hemisphere’s Medieval Warm Epoch and the Little Ice Age, ca. 1300–1850 BC (Mann et al. 2009; Bradley, Warner, & Diaz 2016). The paleoclimate record utilizes climate proxies derived from marine, lake, glacial, and speleothem cores and tree rings that reflect climate values derived from proxy transfer functions. Moreover, the paleoclimate record documents natural climate changes from 12,000 years ago through the eighteenth century (Bradley 2014).

Together, these two kinds of past climate records define both regional and global climate changes, many abrupt in onset and termination, that challenged societies’ sustainable resilience and forced adaptive responses at historically significant junctures. It is these climate changes and adaptive responses that have drawn wide academic attention and intense research efforts, because in some historically significant cases the climate changes are (1) only known in low-resolution and (2) the adaptive societal responses are defined without consensus from different historical and archaeological perspectives—that is, was the response collapse or adaptive success, or is collapse in fact adaptation in some historical situations?

The purpose of this two-day Yale faculty workshop is to examine six well-known yet most debated and historically consequential episodes of past climate change and adaptive societal responses:

1. 2200 BC global megadrought and societal adaptations in East Asia and West Asia;
2. Maya collapse and regional abandonment at 8th century AD droughts;
3. Ancestral Pueblo collapse and migration at the 13th century AD “Great Drought”;
4. Little Ice Age (16th to 19th centuries AD) European agricultural failure, depopulation, revolution, and rebirth;
5. West Asian Little Ice Age and Ottoman collapse in the 17th century AD;
6. Andean Medieval Climate Anomaly, Little Ice Age climate changes mid-16th to early 18th centuries AD, and Inka expansion.

The workshop will offer participants two sets of data for each episode: (1) the most recent instrumental or paleoclimate records presented and analyzed by leading scientists from US universities, and (2) the most recent archaeological and historical records, presented and analyzed by leading societal response investigators, including several Yale faculty.

The workshop is being structured as (1) pre-workshop reading of invitees’ suggested articles, (2) two or three workshop presentations each morning and afternoon, (3) each followed by Yale faculty participants’ analysis and discussion, (4) with a concluding summary discussion of outstanding issues and research problems.
October 20: Introductory Dinner: an open discussion of climate change / collapse issues

October 21:
Morning:
1. What we need to work on: a continued workshop open discussion
2. d’Alpoim Guedes: Tibetan plateau adaptations at 2200 BC climate change
3. Weiss: West Asia adaptations at 2200 BC climate change

Lunch.

Afternoon:
4. Lachniet: Pre-modern mega-drought in Mesoamerica
5. Kennett: Maya mega-drought and collapse
6. Kohler: Ancestral Pueblo adaptations to 13th century AD “Great Drought”
7. Cook: Pre-modern and 21st century California megadrought

Drinks, dinner and summary of workshop issues and analyses.

October 22:
Morning:
8. Anchukaitis: Little Ice Age climates northern hemisphere
9. deGroot: European adaptations to LIA climates
10. White: Ottoman adaptations to LIA

Lunch.

Afternoon:
11. Vuille: Climate Anomalies and the Little Ice Age in the Andes
12. Sandweiss: El Nino and Collapse across the Andes
13. Tung: Drought, Wari and Warfare
14. Summary discussion of Europe and the Andes in the late Holocene

Drinks, dinner and closing summary of workshop issues and analyses.
Workshop issues summarized:

The 4.2 ka BP global megadrought is documented in all varieties of paleoclimate records for the period 2200—1900 BC. The visibility of this megadrought has forced recognition of the event as the global middle-late Holocene boundary. In West Asia the event was characterized by a 30-50% reduction in precipitation, such that rainfed agricultural societies were forced to collapse, abandon territories, and migrate to riverine, swamp, or karst-spring refugia (Weiss 2015, 2016). There is “a marked decrease in human activity” on the Tibetan plateau during the 4.2 ka BP megadrought, with changing regional locations and crop choices an apparent resilient strategy (d’Alpoim Guedes, Manning, Bocinsky 2016; Wang et al. 2016). Were the responses in West Asia and East Asia similar?

Ancestral Pueblo societies of the US southwest Four Corners region inhabited famous settlement sites such as Mesa Verde and Chaco Canyon, among others. Decades-long droughts at the end of the 13th century AD, “The Great Drought,” recorded in regional series of tree rings, reduced agricultural viability and contributed to the abandonment of the region and habitat-tracking before an eventual revival (Bocinsky and Kohler 2014). Controversy remains, however, about the relationship of the Great Drought to regional abandonment. Have coincident cultural forces provided the essential causal linkages for abandonment (Glowacki 2015)? Are these cultural data analyzable? What is the relationship of the The Great Drought to the current megadrought in California (Cook, Ault, Smerdon 2016)?

The Maya peoples of the central lowlands in Guatemala inhabited expansive cities with elite rulers, priests, and scribes, dominated by major public buildings—both temples and palaces—and sustained by intensive agriculture. Since 1995, major paleoclimate research in both high-resolution lake sediment cores and speleothem cores has revealed a succession of major drought events coincident with the abandonment of major Mayan cities and settlement areas (Lachniet et al. 2017). This Terminal Classic Collapse commenced in 2-stages at AD 660 and continued through multi-decadal droughts between AD 800 and 900 (Kennett et al 2012). Were the Maya increasingly susceptible to drought because of emerging political and societal forces, or were the droughts physically impossible to withstand (Douglas et al. 2016)? Why did the Maya not return to the central lowlands in the post-drought period?

The Little Ice Age in Europe is a highly contested period, with varieties of claims made for demographic and political decline and rebirth in the 17th century AD (deVries 1980). Fundamentally, there is the problem of defining the Little Ice Age climatically (Mann et al 2009; Anchukaitis et al 2017). Then there are the variety of claims made for climate-change generated politico-economic and social disturbances. For instance, “[Parker] claims that the Little Ice Age caused famines that led to the starvation of millions, and, via the ‘fatal synergy’ of famine interacting with war and rebellion, resulted in a reduction of Eurasia’s population by one-third” (deVries 2014). Can European Little Ice Age climate changes be linked to quantifiable evaluations of European economic conditions (deVries 2008)?
The Little Ice Age in Ottoman West Asia was marked by repeated famines, warfare, rural flight, and political devolution. White (2011) has compiled the massive historical data and instrumental records, now confirmed by sediment core data from the Ottoman borders in Syria (Kaniewski, van Campo, and Weiss 2012). Can we measure the difference between western European and Anatolian Little Ice Age conditions? What made the Ottomans more vulnerable to Little Ice Age climate changes than the western Europeans?

In the Andes, the Medieval Climate Anomaly, AD 850–1250, was a warm and moist period, while a dry climate prevailed 1250–1550. During the Little Ice Age, a wet phase AD 1550–1750 was followed by a cold and dry phase 1750–1899 (Bustamente et al. 2016; Kanner et al. 2013). El Nino frequencies also dominate the regional Andean climates. How did these variable, often violent, climate alterations affect Andean societies, drive imperial formation or collapse, and socio-political strife? (Tung 2012; Sandweiss and Quilter 2008; Sandweiss et al. 2009).

The driest phase of the Medieval Climate Anomaly occurred between 1250 and 1400, when “extreme drought dominated climatic conditions from the equator to the southern Andes” (Ledru et al. 2013). This last period is marked by the Inka expansion. The relationship between extreme drought and Inka imperial expansion has not been explored in the light of recent Andean paleoclimatology.
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Other Yale faculty engaged within this research seminar’s effort:

Prof. Fabian Drixler, Dept. History, early modern Japanese history, the great divergence
Prof. Alexey Fedorov, Dept. Geology and Geophysics, Climate dynamics
Prof. Rod McIntosh, Dept. Anthropology, West African archaeology
Prof. Alan Mikhail, Dept. History, Egypt and Near Eastern environmental history
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Invited participants’ contributions in the areas the workshop will explore.


Prof. Dagomar deGroot, Dept. History, Georgetown University. European economic history, 17th-18th centuries, Little Ice Age adaptations.

Prof. Douglas Kennett, Dept. Anthropology, Penn State University. Mayan archaeology and Mesoamerican speleothem record paleoclimatology.

Prof. Timothy Kohler, Dept. Anthropology, Washington State University. Ancestral Pueblo societal adaptations to the “Great Drought,” ca. AD 1280.


Prof. Daniel Sandweiss, Climate Change Institute; Dept. Anthropology, University of Maine. Paleoclimates and archaeology, Peruvian Andes.


Prof. Matthias Vuille, Dept. of Atmospheric/Environmental Sciences, SUNY Albany. Andean climate changes at Medieval Climate Anomaly and Little Ice Age.

Prof. Sam White, Dept. History, Ohio State University. West Asia environmental history, Ottoman Empire, Little Ice Age.